

# Proof of God

## Scientific Proof That God Exists

### Week 2: Debunking Evolution

**Our Mission: To take as many people to Heaven as we can before we die. Period.**

#### **1. Genetic limits and cyclical change.**

Darwinists say that microevolution within types proves that macroevolution has occurred. Yet there is no observable evidence of directional change that leads to a new species. For example, dog breeders, through trial and error, can develop bigger, smaller dogs, etc. Yet they have never taken two dogs and made a cat. Breeders can't jump species guided by their intelligence, and we are supposed to believe that species evolved into new species by accident?

#### **2. The fossil record supports instant creation.**

The fossil record goes directly against the evolution theory of gradualism, and instead supports instant creation.

“The history of most fossil species includes two features particularly inconsistent with gradualism: (1) Stasis: Most species exhibit no directional change during their tenure on earth. They appear in the fossil record looking much the same as when they disappear; Morphological change is usually limited and directionless. (2) Sudden Appearance: In any local area, a species does not arise gradually by the steady transformation of its ancestors; it appears all at once and ‘fully formed.’” - **Stephen Jay Gould, Harvard paleontologist and evolutionist**

### **3. Nonviability of transitional forms and a lack of fossil records of these forms.**

Darwin's theory is based upon survival of the fittest, but transitional forms could not survive. For example, consider the Darwinian assertion that birds evolved gradually from reptiles over long periods of time. This would necessitate a transition from scales to feather. How could a creature survive that no longer has scales but does not quite have feathers? Feathers are irreducibly complex. A creature with the structure of half a feather has no ability to fly. It would be easy prey on land, in water, and from air. (not to mention how is this supposed reptilian bird fast enough to catch her food?)

1. They have no viable mechanism for getting reptiles to birds
2. even if the mechanism existed, the transitional forms would be unlikely to survive.

**4. A common genetic code could prove a common ancestor in the “we all came from apes” theory, or it could simply prove a common Creator (He made man and apes). Also the common genetic code does not exist on the molecular level.**

**Fact: 85 to 95% of apes DNA matches humans.**

**Fact: 90% of mice DNA matches humans too.**

“...if mice genetically are as close to humans as apes, this would greatly complicate any Darwinian explanation.” **(Geisler and Turek)**

If all species share a common ancestor, we should expect to find protein sequences (inside the DNA) that are transitional from say, fish to amphibian, or from reptile to mammal. But that's not what we find at all.. instead the basic types are molecularly isolated from one another. **(Geisler and Turek)**

“At a molecular level there is no trace of evolutionary transition from fish (to) amphibian (to) reptile (to) mammal. So amphibian, always traditionally considered intermediate between fish and the other terrestrial vertebrates, are in molecular terms as far from fish

as from any group of reptiles or mammals! To those well acquainted with the traditional picture of vertebrate evolution the result is truly astonishing!" - **Michael Denton, Evolution, A Theory in Crisis**

### **5. Irreducible Complexity.**

In 1859, Charles Darwin wrote "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed, which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely bread down."

Darwin never could see into the human cell. He called it a black box, "a mysterious little part of life that no one could see into." Molecular Biology has single handedly destroyed the concept of simplicity for change's sake.

"DNA's genetic alphabet consists of four letters: A,T,C, and G. Well, within each human cell there are about 3,000 million pairs of those letters."

"Darwinists can't explain the source of the materials to make an engine [the living cell], much less how any irreducibly complex engine came to be in the first place. Nor can they demonstrate the unintelligent process by which any engine has evolved into the space shuttle while providing propulsion at every intermediate step." (**Geisler and Turek**)

"We have always underestimated the cell...The entire cell can be viewed as a factory that contains an elaborate network of interlocking assembly lines, each of which is composed of a set of large protein machines....Why do we call [them] machines? Precisely because, like machines invented by humans to deal efficiently with the macroscopic world, these protein assemblies contain highly coordinated moving parts."  
- **Bruce Alberts, President, National Association of Sciences**

Message Notes: [The Holy Bible](#), New Living Translation. [Scientific Facts in the Bible](#), by Ray Comfort. [I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist](#), by Norman L. Geisler and Frank Turek. [Evolution Impossible](#), by Dr. John F. Ashton. [Three Views on Creation and Evolution](#), by J.P. Moreland and John Mark Reynolds, editors. [The Case for a Creator](#), by Lee Strobel. [Evolution, A Theory in Crisis](#), by Michael Denton.